GUIDE TO SEED FINANCING OPTIONS FOR STARTUPS (INSTRUMENTS AND THEIR IMPACTS)

This guide offers a concise overview of the primary seed financing options available to startups, detailing their advantages and disadvantages. Designed to be a basic resource, it assists advisors, startup founders, and investors in understanding the various financing mechanisms. This exploration provides insight into the strategic considerations critical to structuring seed-stage investments.

Key financing instruments analyzed:

- Convertible Notes. Popular among seed investors for their familiarity and cost efficiency, yet they bring challenges like ownership ambiguity and potential for unfavorable terms during conversion.
- Simple Agreements for Future Equity (SAFEs). Praised for their simplicity and lack of maturity, SAFEs mirror the economic and operational mechanics of convertible notes but introduce pricing uncertainty and potential tax complexities.
- Convertible Preferred Stock (Series Seed). Offers investors and founders certainty with direct negotiation of terms, though it involves more complex documentation and higher costs.
- Common Stock. The simplest form of equity, providing uniform ownership without preferred privileges, which may dissuade some investors due to the lack of additional rights and potential impacts on equity incentives.

* * *

I. CONVERTIBLE NOTES

Advantages:

- Wide Acceptance. Convertible notes are a prevalent choice for seed investments, making them a familiar instrument for many seed investors. This familiarity can simplify discussions and negotiations.
- Streamlined Documentation. The documentation for convertible notes is typically concise and straightforward, featuring fewer negotiated terms. This simplicity makes it easier to communicate the details to investors who may not have extensive experience in such transactions.
- Reduced Initial Costs. Choosing convertible notes can be more cost-efficient compared to issuing preferred stock. This is primarily due to the more intricate documentation and the additional legal requirements involved with equity transactions, such as amending the company's charter/by-laws. These processes usually involve significant filing fees and service charges from state governments and other service providers.
- Attractive Employee Equity Options. Using convertible notes can shield the fair market value of the company's common stock from the immediate impact of the investment. This protective measure enhances the appeal and perceived value of equity incentives offered to employees.

Disadvantages:

- Ownership Ambiguity. Convertible notes introduce a degree of uncertainty for both founders and investors concerning the eventual ownership percentage in the company once the notes convert to equity. This can lead to discrepancies in expectations and planning.

- Financial Obligations and Timing. The fact that convertible notes have a maturity date can sometimes place investors in a position to negotiate more favorable terms if conversion has not occurred by this time. Although noteholders might agree to extend the maturity without demanding additional concessions, the process typically incurs legal costs related to documenting the extension. Moreover, the accrual of interest on these notes can be seen as contradictory to their nature as deferred equity investments.
- Risk of Unfavorable Terms. Occasionally, the terms of convertible notes can result in outcomes that deviate significantly from those typical in seed equity transactions. For example, certain features of plain-vanilla convertible notes might provide noteholders with protections or preferences that are considerably more favorable than those found in seed equity deals, such as full ratchet anti-dilution protection or multiple liquidation preferences. These outcomes, often seen as disproportionately advantageous to noteholders, can create disparities in expectations and results.

II. SIMPLE AGREEMENTS FOR FUTURE EQUITY (SAFES)

Advantages:

- Enhanced Simplicity. SAFEs are generally recognized for their streamlined structure, often featuring simpler terms compared to convertible notes. Typically, the only points of negotiation in a SAFE are the valuation cap and the discount rate, making these agreements quicker and easier to finalize.
- Absence of Maturity and Interest. One of the primary advantages of SAFEs over convertible notes is that they do not have a maturity date. This aspect eliminates the pressure on founders to convert or renegotiate the terms as a deadline approaches, providing a sense of stability and continuity. Furthermore, the absence of interest prevents dilution that might otherwise occur at the time of conversion, preserving founder and existing shareholder value.
- Economic and Mechanistic Parity with Convertible Notes. While SAFEs lack interest and maturity features, they are designed to mirror the economic impact and operational mechanics of convertible notes, which are already familiar to many investors in the seed-stage ecosystem. This similarity facilitates understanding and acceptance among those familiar with convertible notes.
- Cost Efficiency. The costs associated with drafting and closing a SAFE are generally on par with, or slightly less than, those for convertible notes. This is due to the simpler nature of SAFE agreements, which often requires less negotiation and fewer amendments post-signing.
- Minimized Impact on Equity Incentives. Although using SAFEs can affect the valuation of common stock—which is relevant when issuing equity incentives to employees—the impact is generally less significant than that of issuing convertible preferred stock or common stock. This less adverse effect helps maintain the attractiveness of stock options for potential and current employees.

Disadvantages:

- Lack of Familiarity. In some regions and among certain investor groups, SAFEs are less common and less understood compared to other investment instruments like convertible notes. This unfamiliarity can complicate investment discussions with potential investors who are new to the startup ecosystem or who primarily invest in other types of assets.
- Pricing Uncertainty. Similar to convertible notes, SAFEs introduce uncertainty regarding the future price at which they will convert into equity. This can create ambiguity about the eventual ownership stakes of the founders and the investors, complicating future financial and strategic planning.
- No Fixed Maturity or Interest. The absence of a maturity date in SAFEs can be a double-edged sword. While it provides flexibility and reduces pressure on the company to perform within a specific timeframe, it can also be less attractive to investors who prefer the structured timeline and potential income from periodic interest payments associated with convertible notes.

- Risk of Unintended Outcomes. As with convertible notes, the terms within SAFEs can sometimes lead to unexpected results that deviate from typical market practices in equity deals. These outcomes might disproportionately benefit one party over another, leading to potential disputes or dissatisfaction.
- Unclear Tax Implications. The tax treatment of SAFEs remains a subject of debate and uncertainty. This lack of clarity can pose a risk for both founders and investors as they attempt to plan their tax strategies without definitive guidance on how SAFEs are viewed by tax authorities.

III. CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK (SERIES SEED)

Advantages:

- Investor Confidence. Convertible preferred stock often appeals to sophisticated seed investors who value the ability to negotiate the price and terms directly at the time of investment. This control provides a level of predictability and assurance about the conditions of their investment.
- Founder Clarity. By choosing convertible preferred stock for seed financing, founders gain a clear and immediate understanding of their ownership stakes in the company once the financing round closes. This clarity is crucial for founders to plan their future business strategies and personal financial commitments.
- Simplified Subsequent Financing. Convertible preferred stock simplifies the process for future equity financing rounds. Unlike convertible notes or SAFEs, which can have various conversion calculations and unlegislated terms, preferred stock provides a straightforward framework that typically does not change, reducing complexity and potential costs in subsequent financings.
- Investor Familiarity. Many experienced seed investors are well-versed in the mechanisms and implications of preferred stock. The use of model agreements, such as those published by the National Venture Capital Association for Series A Preferred Stock, can expedite negotiations and acceptance, providing a familiar and efficient starting point for discussions.

Disadvantages:

- Document Complexity. The legal documentation required for convertible preferred stock transactions is generally more extensive and intricate than that for convertible notes or SAFEs. This complexity can lead to longer preparation times and may require more intensive review by legal teams.
- Higher Costs. The detailed and complex nature of the agreements for convertible preferred stock, coupled with the need to amend the company's charter/by-laws, results in higher legal and filing fees. These costs are significantly greater than those associated with simpler instruments like convertible notes or SAFEs.
- Impact on Equity Incentives. Utilizing convertible preferred stock for seed investments can negatively affect the fair market value of the company's common stock, which is relevant for structuring equity incentives for employees. While this impact is less severe than that of using common stock, it is still more pronounced than the effects associated with convertible notes or SAFEs.

IV. COMMON STOCK IN SEED INVESTMENTS

Advantages:

- Straightforward Nature. Common stock represents the most straightforward type of equity in seed investments. It lacks the complex rights, preferences, or privileges that other securities like preferred stock hold. However, it's worth noting that contractual agreements can sometimes introduce protections similar to those found in preferred stock.

- Cost Efficiency. Utilizing existing documents such as founder's stock purchase agreements for investor use can lead to substantial savings in legal fees. Moreover, if the company already has a sufficient number of common shares issued, additional expenses related to charter amendments can be avoided, further reducing filing costs.
- Uniform Ownership. Common stock offers a uniform equity structure, meaning that both founders and investors hold the same type of shares. This equality ensures that all parties share the same risks and rewards, fostering a sense of solidarity and aligned objectives among the company's early stakeholders.

Disadvantages:

- Lack of Preferential Treatment. While equality in shareholding can be seen as a benefit, it also poses a challenge, particularly for investors. Common stock does not offer the additional rights and protections typically afforded by preferred stock. This absence of preferential treatment can be less attractive to investors, especially when compared to institutional rounds where preferred stock is often issued.
- Impact on Employee Incentives. Issuing common stock during seed funding rounds can significantly increase the fair market value of the company's stock. This rise can adversely affect the company's ability to offer attractive equity incentives to employees, as the increased stock value could lead to less appealing option grants.

* * *

DISCLAIMER

This Guide is provided for informational purposes only and does not constitute legal advice. The Guide is intended to offer a general overview of various financing instruments commonly used in seed-stage investment scenarios and is not designed to provide specific guidance or recommendations for any particular company or transaction.

The information contained within this Guide is provided on an "as is" basis without any warranties of any kind. While the information has been compiled from sources believed to be reliable, no guarantee is given that the information provided is correct, complete, or up-to-date. Ishimbayev Law Firm, P.C (the "Firm") responsible for drafting this Guide disclaims all liability for any errors or omissions in this document or for any loss or damage suffered by persons or entities relying on this information.

This Guide does not create an attorney-client relationship between the reader and the Firm. It is not a substitute for professional legal advice tailored to your specific circumstances. Before making any decision or taking any action that might affect your personal interests or the legal standing of your business, you should consult a qualified professional advisor.

The Firm expressly disclaims any responsibility for any decision or actions taken or not taken based on any or all of the contents of this document. The use of this Guide does not mean or guarantee that any specific outcome or result will be achieved. Each legal problem is unique, and past performance does not guarantee future results.

The laws and regulations related to seed financing are subject to change and can vary greatly by jurisdiction. The Firm makes no representation that the information in this Guide is appropriate or available for use in locations outside of the jurisdiction in which the Firm is licensed to practice law. Users of this Guide are responsible for compliance with all local laws and regulations.